Alternative Research Trends in Operating Room Planning

Roberto Aringhieri Department of Computer Science – University of Turin di.unito.it/aringhieri – roberto.aringhieri@unito.it

June 15, 2018

Operating Rooms (ORs) are one of the higher cost drivers of hospital budget and one of the highest source of income. The challenge that hospital management has to face is achieving a better and optimised use of hospital shared resources, able to reduce costs and increase profits. The adoption of decision methods can provide tools able to address the complexity of OR planning and scheduling [9, 14, 15, 20, 22]. Such a complexity is given by different characteristics of the hospital organisation, where wards access to shared and limited resources such as ORs, ward beds, Intensive Care Unit (ICU) beds, Anaesthesiologists, Surgeons, Nurses. Other factors increase the complexity, such as the uncertainty of patient clinical conditions (e.g. the length of stay after the surgical intervention) and the patient surgery time in the OR session that can lead to cancellations or delays of surgery in the schedule.

OR scheduling and planning can be defined by three hierarchical decisions levels: strategic, tactical and operational that consider respectively the long, medium and short term objectives. The strategic level considers resource allocation problem, determining the number of surgeries, which staff to use for surgeries and defining the amount of the resources available. At tactical level the master surgical schedule, that is the assignment of OR blocks to surgical specialties, is defined together with the number of surgeons, the definition of ward and ICU use, and the need of equipment. At the operational decision level, the problem arising is also called "surgery process scheduling" of elective patients, which usually consists in (i) selecting patients from an usually long waiting list and assigning them to a specific OR session (i.e., an operating room on a specific day) over a planning horizon, and (ii) determining the precise sequence of surgical procedures and the allocation of resources for each OR session.

This talk is organized in three parts. The first part illustrates some new contributions recently appeared in the OR literature [1, 8, 17, 18, 19, 21]: such contributions are selected as representative of alternative approaches that can be used in this field of research. Several performance criteria have been reported to evaluate the OR planning decisions [9]: usually, the maximisation of the OR utilisation is the most adopted criterion, since ORs are the largest cost and revenue centre of hospitals [14]. The second part faces the problem of comparing different performance criteria [3, 5] also taking into account their impact over time [4]. The third and last part introduces the Real Time Management (RTM) of operating rooms [2, 11], which is the decision problem arising during the fulfilment of the surgery process scheduling, that is the problem of supervising the execution of such a schedule and, in case of delays, to take the more rational decision regarding the surgery cancellation or the overtime assignment. The RTM with also non-elective patients is studied by [12, 13] in which a competitive analysis of the online algorithms is discussed.

References

- B. Addis, G. Carello, A. Grosso, and E. Tànfani. "Operating room scheduling and rescheduling: a rolling horizon approach". In: Flexible Services and Manufacturing Journal 28.1-2 (2016), pp. 206–232. DOI: 10.1007/s10696-015-9213-7.
- R. Aringhieri and D. Duma. "The optimization of a surgical clinical pathway". In: Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing 402 (2015), pp. 313–331. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-26470-7_16.
- [3] R. Aringhieri and D. Duma. "Patient-Centred Objectives as an Alternative to Maximum Utilisation: Comparing Surgical Case Solutions". In: vol. 217. 2017, pp. 105–112. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-67308-0_11.
- [4] R. Aringhieri, D. Duma, and E. Faccio. "Ex post evaluation of an operating theatre". In: Joint EURO/ALIO International Conference 2018 on Applied Combinatorial Optimization. Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics. To appear. 2018.
- R. Aringhieri, P. Landa, and S. Mancini. "A Hierarchical Multi-objective Optimisation Model for Bed Levelling and Patient Priority Maximisation". In: vol. 217. 2017, pp. 113–120. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-67308-0_12.
- [6] R. Aringhieri, P. Landa, P. Soriano, E. Tànfani, and A. Testi. "A two level metaheuristic for the operating room scheduling and assignment problem". In: Computers and Operations Research 54 (2015), pp. 21–34. DOI: 10.1016/j.cor.2014.08.014.

- [7] R. Aringhieri, P. Landa, and E. Tànfani. "Assigning surgery cases to operating rooms: A VNS approach for leveling ward beds occupancies". In: *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics* 47 (2015), pp. 173–180. DOI: 10.1016/j.endm.2014.11.023.
- [8] P. Cappanera, F. Visintin, and C. Banditori. "Addressing conflicting stakeholders' priorities in surgical scheduling by goal programming". In: *Flexible Services and Manufacturing Journal* 30.1-2 (2018), pp. 252–271. DOI: 10.1007/s10696-016-9255-5.
- B. Cardoen, E. Demeulemeester, and J. Beliën. "Operating room planning and scheduling: A literature review". In: Eur J Oper Res 201 (2010), pp. 921–932.
- B. Cardoen, E. Demeulemeester, and J. Beliën. "Operating room planning and scheduling problems: A classification scheme". In: International Journal of Health Management and Information 1.1 (2010), pp. 71–83.
- [11] D. Duma and R. Aringhieri. "An online optimization approach for the Real Time Management of operating rooms". In: *Operations Research for Health Care* 7 (2015), pp. 40–51. DOI: 10.1016/j.orhc.2015.08.006.
- [12] D. Duma and R. Aringhieri. "The management of non-elective patients: shared vs. dedicated policies". In: Omega (United Kingdom) (2018). DOI: 10.1016/j.omega.2018.03.002.
- [13] D. Duma and R. Aringhieri. "The real time management of operating rooms". In: International Series in Operations Research and Management Science 262 (2018), pp. 55–79. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-65455-3_3.
- [14] F. Guerriero and R. Guido. "Operational research in the management of the operating theatre: a survey". In: Health Care Manag Sc 14 (2011), pp. 89–114.
- [15] Erwin W. Hans and Peter T. Vanberkel. "Operating Theatre Planning and Scheduling". English. In: Handbook of Healthcare System Scheduling. Ed. by Randolph Hall. Vol. 168. International Series in Operations Research & Management Science. Springer US, 2012, pp. 105–130.
- P. Landa, R. Aringhieri, P. Soriano, E. Tànfani, and A. Testi. "A hybrid optimization algorithm for surgeries scheduling". In: Operations Research for Health Care 8 (2016), pp. 103–114. DOI: 10.1016/j.orhc.2016.01.001.
- [17] P. Landa, M. Sonnessa, E. Tànfani, and A. Testi. "Multiobjective bed management considering emergency and elective patient flows". In: International Transactions in Operational Research 25.1 (2018), pp. 91–110. DOI: 10.1111/itor.12360.
- [18] A. G. Leeftink and E. W. Hans. "Case mix classification and a benchmark set for surgery scheduling". In: J Sched 21.1 (2018), pp. 17–33.
- [19] I. Marques and M.E. Captivo. "Different stakeholders' perspectives for a surgical case assignment problem: Deterministic and robust approaches". In: European Journal of Operational Research 261.1 (2017), pp. 260–278. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejor.2017.01.036.
- [20] M. Samudra et al. "Scheduling operating rooms: achievements, challenges and pitfalls". In: J Sched 19.5 (2016), pp. 493–525. DOI: 10.1007/s10951-016-0489-6.
- [21] C.L. Siqueira, E.F. Arruda, L. Bahiense, G.L. Bahr, and G.R. Motta. "Long-term integrated surgery room optimization and recovery ward planning, with a case study in the Brazilian National Institute of Traumatology and Orthopedics (INTO)". In: European Journal of Operational Research 264.3 (2018), pp. 870–883. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejor.2016.09.021.
- [22] Carla Van Riet and Erik Demeulemeester. "Trade-offs in operating room planning for electives and emergencies: A review". In: Operations Research for Health Care 7 (2015), pp. 52–69.